- a	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/721,078	PULIKOTTIL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
-	Christina Johnson	1725		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Christina Johnson</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Jeff Wilson</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 27 September 2004	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
1, 25, 33-34				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
Che Johnson				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative Sig	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 25, and 33-34 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to correct informalities. Applicant's representative, Mr. Wilson, agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment.